1	PUBLIC COMMENTS - MINNEAPOLIS - 6:00 - March 16, 2011
	FUBLIC CUMILNIS - MINNEAFULIS - 0.00 - MATCH 10, 2011
2	
3	In the Matter of the Northern States Power Company
4	Certificate of Need Application for
5	Two 115 kV High Voltage Transmission Lines in Minnesota
6	
7	PUC Docket Number: E002/CN-10-694
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	March 16, 2011
19	
20	
21	Plaza Verde.
22	1516 East Lake Street.
23	Minneapolis, Minnesota
24	p
25	

MR. STORM: Terry Barnes. Please step to the podium. State and spell your name.

MS. BARNES: Hi. My name is Terry
Barnes. And I moved to this community this past
year. I live at 2601 35th Avenue South. That's in
the northeastern part of this electrical district
that you're talking about.

Immediately upon moving here I got myself involved in neighborhood energy workshops. Got an energy audit on my new home, made good use of some wonderful light bulbs that were provided to me, I think through Xcel Energy. Used many of the benefits that came through that program; insulation in my attic, new furnace, new doors, windows. Many of those are going to have a wonderful effect on my gas bill. The electric light bulbs will help with my electric bill.

In the process of experiencing the program, I did not see the addressing of refrigeration in my home or air conditioning and reducing peak load for me as a customer. I think those would -- are my top electrical users in my home. Light bulbs are wonderful, but I think air conditioning and refrigeration is where most of my power needs are as a very small user.

You had asked us to identify what we would like you to look at in the environmental review. I don't completely understand your language here, but I suppose I would want you to look at the no-build alternative.

For large users, I think we have more opportunity, either in those corridors you spoke about or, particularly large users in industry who need significant power needs, or the hospitals and big institutions that have needs, to look at some alternative fuel; solar, wind, to get them the generation that they need that can offset high peak needs or whatever the utility might need to decrease the need.

My concern is I think there are hazards with high voltage lines. I'm sorry I wasn't part of this process when you were talking about routing. But if that has an effect on the Midtown Greenway, that was the primary reason I moved to this community, because of Midtown Greenway and if this power line indeed would need to use those spaces, I think it would have tremendous environmental impact. And I don't know what your findings were about the routing, so I defer to that. But can you answer that?

MR. STORM: Yeah. Terry, as I said, we conducted about two-thirds of the routing process before we stopped. Part of that was scoping and then doing the draft environmental impact statement and then taking comments on that and issuing a final impact statement before it went into the hearing.

That document did cover, both from a generic standpoint and a specific, each route standpoint covered areas such as EMF, aesthetics, environmental justice, those areas. I would encourage you to either contact me if you want a copy of the EIS yourself or go to our website, the energy facility website, and the document is there. And it's broken into manageable sizes in PDF so you can look at the sections and go through it.

As far as your other comment, if you look at the draft scoping document for this procedure, you can see that one of my items, 3.2, talks about demand side management. So we will be exploring what has Xcel done to date in demand side management and what could they squeeze out of it going into the future and what they plan on squeezing out of it going into the future.

MS. BARNES: Correct. I think they're really moving forward on this energy conservation,

which I think they've been doing for 25, 30 years. But it's just that between \$30 to \$50 million can buy an awful lot of greatly improved mechanical devices. There could be a lot better refrigerators out there. I think we've got a whole bunch of old clunkers out there. A lot of improved air conditioning alternatives. I think we've got a lot of mechanical stuff that \$30 to \$50 million could really improve.

And so the long and the short is my hope is the protection of our greatest resource in these communities right now where we are seeing growth is along that Midtown Greenway, and that we keep that secured. And if a power line, hopefully not real high power -- I'm sorry, I don't know well enough about transmission, but that that could be an underground sort of a response that could take it in another east-west corridor, other than on the Greenway.

MR. STORM: Terry, just for your information, during the routing docket we evaluated various routes and then various configurations, one of them being underground. And we carried that through the environmental impact statement and that was also carried into the public hearing. And the

ALJ did release a report with her recommendation to the PUC. She recommended a specific route and she did recommend that route be placed underground.

MS. BARNES: Okay. Thank you. Last name is Barnes, B-A-R-N-E-S, T-E-R-R-Y.

MR. STORM: Frank Lorenz. Please state and spell your name.

MR. LORENZ: All right. My name is Frank Lorenz, L-O-R-E-N-Z. I'm representing myself as a Hennepin county taxpayer and ratepayer. And I live in the western suburbs, but my offices are west of Lake and Hennepin. So whatever additional asset, expenditures Xcel Energy makes are ultimately going to be paid by the ratepayers, among which I am one.

So my concern is primarily that we get a reasonably quick resolution to this and select a cost alternative that is sensible. If we weren't in a recession, one of the big arguments would be, well, every year we wait the cost will go up 10 percent. That's no longer true because there's so many construction workers out of work that costs will probably say relatively flat. So that driver of emergency isn't around.

But you just mentioned that the initial route evaluation came with a recommendation for

1 underground lines, but where would they go? 2 would they have been recommended to go? The ALJ recommended 28th 3 MR. STORM: Street. 4 5 MR. LORENZ: Okay. And that would mean moving all the utility lines that are in the street 6 7 already? The water, sewer, anything else, is that 8 correct? 9 MR. STORM: I believe that Xcel feels 10 that they can install that line with minimal impact 11 to the existing infrastructure that's there. 12 MR. LORENZ: Do you have any idea of what 13 the cost of doing the underground along that route 14 would be, compared to overhead transmission towers 15 along the top of the Greenway? 16 MR. STORM: I'm probably going to ask 17 Xcel to give you that number. But that was like --18 well, I have a ballpark, but I'll have Paul give the 19 numbers for that. 20 MR. LEHMAN: Actually, I'm going to walk 21 back to our project manager and let her -- Betty 22 hasn't spoken yet. 23 MS. MIRZAYI: I'm Betty Mirzayi, spelled 24 M-I-R-Z-A-Y-I. And Betty is B-E-T-T-Y. And I'm a 25 project manager for Xcel Energy on this project.

Along the top of the Greenway the cost is estimated to be about \$3 million for installing overhead lines. And on 28th Street there's about an additional \$12 million added to go underground.

MR. LORENZ: So going underground would be \$15 million?

MS. MIRZAYI: That's correct.

MR. LEHMAN: That's correct, yes.

MR. LORENZ: All right. So it's going to cost five times as much to bury lines as to put them on towers. I don't know what that works out to in terms of raising electrical rates, but it's not zero.

MR. STORM: Frank, if you go back and look at the environmental impact statement that I put together for this project, one of the things that I did, although the PUC -- my role is not to get involved with who pays for mitigation. But I did, as a factual thing, lay out what the projection was, and you can check the document for this, what the projection was if the county, the city, or all of the ratepayers pay for that additional cost over a series of period of time. But there's a chart in there, in my EIS, that lays that out, to give you an idea.

MR. LORENZ: All right. And is my understanding the Public Utilities Commission decides how wide a net Xcel is going to be able to cast in spreading those rates? I mean, theoretically, you could argue that because people from the five-state area come to Abbott Northwestern to have heart surgery, we should be able to pass those rates along in Xcel's ratepayer group outside the state. Now that probably is not going to happen. A good idea that is dead in the water.

But nonetheless, I guess my concern is that the lady that spoke before I came up here made a lot of good points about energy conservation on the homeowner level, your own personal appliances. But from where I see it, people are buying the biggest possible TV that they can possibly get through the door of their house, and those things are energy pigs. They're also buying the most sophisticated computer that they can possibly afford. And by the end of 2012 the amount of electricity that's going to be required to run the internet, including the warehouses full of servers on the west coast in the United States, will consume more electricity to run the internet than the entire nation of Australia uses, if that gives you some

sort of magnitude for how prolific we are in consuming energy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't think there's much of a chance of being able to get Abbott Northwestern to run their air conditioning in the summer from solar panels. Now, I would be happy to be proven wrong. But it seems to me that the desire for power, between the restaurants in uptown, Abbott Northwestern's expansion and the several thousand new apartment units that are built in uptown, the several thousand that are scheduled to be built, you have no chance of getting past this problem with conservation. And if that's true, then you're running a big risk if you don't get the transmission lines built. So if you can convince everybody to really be serious about personal conservation, it would be a wonderful thing.

But the final thing, and then I'm going to step away, the papers are full about what a wonderful world it's going to be when we start using electrical cars; there will be no more tailpipe emissions and everything else. But those cars need electricity and if this country actually moves to use electric vehicles--and they've been stalled on it for 40 years--but if now is really the change,

then Xcel Energy is going to be back, not asking for one new power plant, but ten because the amount of electricity to run those cars is going to be humongous. So we may be arguing here about pennies on the dollar. That's another issue.

But I see no real hope of people modifying their behavior significantly enough, between their TV sets and their computers, to reduce the cost -- to reduce their consumption of electricity. They're just not doing it. And if they don't do it, if Xcel doesn't build the transmission line, the lights are going to be going out in the summer and the freezers in the restaurants on Hennepin Avenue are going to be melting down.

So, you know, I would love to see people actually walk the walk. They love to talk about conservation, but they're not doing it and Xcel Energy cannot force them to do it. You can help them to do it, and I believe you're already doing that, but you may be talking to a tree. So with that, I'm going to step away from the mic.

MR. STORM: Thank you, Frank.
CarrieAnne Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Don't ask me.

1 MR. STORM: Sorry. 2 MS. JOHNSON: All right. 3 MR. STORM: Please state and spell your 4 name. 5 MS. JOHNSON: My name is CarrieAnne Johnson, C-A-R-I-E, A-N-N-E. Johnson, 6 J-0-H-N-S-0-N. 7 I live across the street from the Xcel 9 Hiawatha maintenance facility and I live one block 10 from the proposed Xcel Hiawatha substation. 11 A couple of my questions that I just want 12 to get out of the way. First was I was wondering if 13 people who sign up for that list, if they're going 14 to get automatic notifications of when the upcoming 15 hearing is going to be? 16 MR. STORM: Carrie, if you signed my 17 project contact list--you can also sign up for it 18 online--then you will get notification of the public 19 hearing. You will get notification of availability 20 of the environmental document. 21 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Great. So and 22 then -- and then I guess something that it dawns on 23 me -- I have plenty of comments that I could offer 24 that would go way beyond five minutes. So what I'm 25 wondering, if I could offer -- since I can't afford

to buy you the movies and books, I'm wondering if I could offer you three books and two movies that are -- all of them easily available that I would love everyone in the PUC and everyone in Xcel to watch and read. And that would be Toolbox for Sustainable City Living, specifically the chapter on energy, by Scott Kellogg and Stacy Pettigrew.

There's another one called Coming Clean: Breaking America's Addiction to Oil and Coal, by Michael Brune.

And then, more importantly, *Power Down:*Options and Actions for a Post-Carbon World, by

Richard Heinberg. And if you're not the reading

variety, please just watch *The Age of Stupid*. The

name says it all. And Collapse, which is Michael

Ruppert.

The problem that I have with this process thus far and reading -- I mean, not in terms of accessibility, that has been awesome, however, the way that it's presented -- the way that it's presented, the way that it's written is based upon the assumption that we are all three-year-olds who are crying to get what we want, and that Xcel has been spending the time from the year 2001 to the year 2008 just scrambling around, fixing every

little thing to just fill our bellies with our energy needs. But I, as a citizen who is just somebody working, living, running around with my child, had no clue. I didn't have access to that knowledge. I think the rest of the community, on a general basis, doesn't have access to that knowledge, doesn't realize that this is what the overhead picture that was going on.

So I feel like it would have been great if, back in the day, since it says that you guys have been working on evaluating this for the last 50 years, I would think that about ten years ago in this -- when you saw the need spiking, that it would have been great, instead of spending all of the money and the time on sending people out to fix every little thing, which I'm sure needed doing, too, just for the immediate, but at the same time, that people could have gone out to the community and said, hey, this is what your actual energy use is, this is -- here's a graph.

Let's just show you a graph of what's been going on and let's just show you that the population is increasing. And we already can tell, right now, that we're going to get to a point at which we can't keep fixing it. And so here's our

end up -- our solution. But even as he was saying what this is going to be -- he talks about when we all want electric cars, which is not physically possible, by the way, because there isn't enough resources left in the earth to mine, to remake that many cars in the world and make them electric.

But, for us to all just continue this increase because the population is going to increase at this point and to continue on that assumption, to look at things and presume that everything is going to keep going the way things have been going since the '60s and '70s, instead of trying to say, hey, these people are people and they are able to adapt and they have the ability to be conscious of what their surrounding is and compassionate and understanding and that they could possibly come together on something.

I don't see any of that type of emphasis at all, that there is a possibility that there's even the slightest possibility for humans to change their behavior. Which is one of those things, one of those questions we're going to find out fairly soon, I think, whether or not we are. And that's going to be on a grand scale, not just right now.

But I personally believe it's possible.

And I also believe that the only way that it is possible is by us believing that it's possible. Because if each one of us in this room right now, having the belief that we don't have to follow this path, that we don't have to follow the same set. Just because I'm an auto mechanic and I know how to do this one thing and I know how to do it over and over again and this is how you solve the problem, that there might be somebody else or a whole set of other people who already know how to solve the problem.

But, as he said, you can be talking to a tree because you can say they just talk, talk, talk. Perhaps it's because we don't have money. Perhaps it's because there isn't money there, there isn't an organization because of the way the structure has already been set up. And I'm not saying that's your fault, but that's just something that should be looked at and paid attention to. Because if that funding could go into just creating an organization where people could go and have one resource in the community where people could learn how to do all these things, or we could say it -- I mean, you could pay me, I'll do it. I'll get all the people together and I will man the operations and be a

resource hub.

I mean, but we need to have something like that set up where people can come in and learn how to build. And, see, these are my ideas for things I would like you to address as far as what to put into the environmental review. But things such as retrofitting old refrigerators into root cellars. So, one, you're getting rid of the bad refrigerators that don't need to be around in the first place, then reusing them. You can also turn those into solar water heaters, solar hot water heaters, which would be another great way to heat our water and it's reusing an old refrigerator that's otherwise going to be thrown in the landfill.

I would like to make sure that geothermal is researched. That passive solar for heating, solar ovens, that's something that communities could do, too. Doesn't have to be individuals. I mean, we could have community organizations, community parks, etcetera, that could be implementing solar ovens, and that could be from reclaimed materials.

And then on a bigger scale, I really, really, really, really want you to look at and talk to Wells Fargo, Allina, and Midtown Global Market, at the very least, about them implementing thermal

energy storage, which is ice on air coil technology.

It's proven. It's being used in California with the

Southern California Edison Company. They have three

different companies that offer it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a program that's offered to business customers right now. And by doing so, for those that are not familiar with it, the energy for during this peak mode, peak demand in the summertime, when they're trying to run their air conditioners, instead of it needing to generate that electricity during the peak demand, it could be being generated, storing that energy at nighttime, using off-peak Which means there's a higher chance that it demand. can come from an alternative source of energy, such as wind power, which is coming nicely at nighttime. And then in the daytime, yes, Allina could run their air conditioners off of solar because that's about how much it would need just to run the very, very low energy -- or, the very high energy efficiency, low energy fans that it takes to blow around the cool air from that which had been captured in the nighttime.

So I really hope that that can seriously be evaluated and researched in terms of how much that adds up to. And I think that, obviously, if

it's not enough, that I'm sure there is plenty of other big businesses within that entire area. I was just looking at a very narrow frame, but I am quite certain there are other businesses that can also experience that.

And then the last thing I want to say is that reading the certificate of need application and seeing page 12 where it says that the denial of the certificate of need would result in worsening overload conditions, I feel like that is missing the clause which just says that unless our energy consumption is reduced or mitigated.

And having seen the map you just showed, showing the risk, I kind of feel like I'm looking at somebody's arteries. And that as a doctor we're being prescribed cholesterol lowering drugs, except not asking a patient to look at their diet at all. This is a patient that's eating McDonald's every day, drinking, smoking, not eating enough vegetables. But the same way the patient's health is not going to be fixed, neither is our community energy diet. Sometimes it's hard to make the changes, but so far we haven't been restricting the diet yet.

So I really feel like these are all

things that we can do, but we have to be given the opportunity and we need to be given the funds.

Someone has to put forward the effort. And since this is where the -- since you're the ones driving the required -- or, legally required to meet our energy needs, I feel like this is the place where you could be putting forward options toward the community for giving us that infrastructure, that overhead.

So, thank you.

MR. STORM: Thank you, Carrie.

Sean -- boy -- Gosiewski.

MS. JOHNSON: I don't think he's here.

MR. STORM: We'll hold it. Maybe he'll

Okay. Tanja Birke. Please state and spell your name.

MS. BIRKE: Thank you for almost pronouncing that correctly. It's Tanja, T-A-N-J-A. And Birke, B-I-R-K-E.

I have three questions. One, I'm not completely sure I understand what the proposal is based on. What I've heard here tonight, and I'm sure I missed a lot of meetings, but I don't know what a substation is. And it sounds like on this

show up.

1 thing that Paul gave us, it sounds like there were 2 four alternatives proposed. But you're telling me 3 that the underground was the one that's been recommended. So is there a way you could quickly 4 5 describe what's actually being proposed? 6 MR. STORM: Sure. I think maybe Paul can 7 handle that, though. 8 MR. LEHMAN: First, we'll start with your 9 question: What is a substation? Substation is a 10 structure or a location where we take one voltage of 11 power and convert it to another voltage of power. 12 So right now -- I'm not sure how familiar you are, 13 if you travel down Hiawatha, there's a large 14 substation that you'll notice as you're traveling 15 south on Hiawatha, off to the east, on your left 16 side. 17 So it's a structure where the higher 18 voltage power lines come into it and then the 19 voltage is stepped down to a lower voltage and 20 then --21 MR. SUDHEIMER: Where on Hiawatha is 22 that? Where? 23 MR. LEHMAN: What street is the Hiawatha 24 on? MS. ASAH: 25 38th.

MR. LEHMAN: 38th and Hiawatha, is where the Southtown substation is. So that's what the substation does.

Now --

MS. BIRKE: The proposal is to put an underground?

MR. LEHMAN: The proposal that was recommended by the administrative law judge was to build those substations that we talked about, one by Hiawatha and one by what we call Midtown, Oakland and 28th, and connect them between each other with a transmission line that goes underground.

MS. BIRKE: Thank you. So I'm wondering what -- where in the process -- is there room in the process to look at the -- decreasing the usage, which I know a number of people have already asked about. But when you're looking at the environmental impact of answering the problem and responding to this problem of an increased need, is there any room to look at actually decreasing the need?

MR. STORM: We are currently working the certificate of need docket. Okay? We ran the routing document about two-thirds of the way, we stopped, and now we started because of the new legislation, the CON docket. The CON docket is

actually the appropriate place where conservation, demand side management, those concepts are flushed out. And in my environmental report what I will do is I will lay out -- and Xcel, I know Xcel has already laid it out in their CON application, but I will flush it out in the environmental document of what has Xcel done from a DSM standpoint. And that is encouraging people to switch their light bulbs, encourage people to use blankets over their hot water heaters.

All the things that we are encouraged to do, the diet, as Carrie was talking about, all the things we're encouraged to do to lessen the need.

This is the platform for that. This process that we're doing now.

MS. BIRKE: Okay. So not only are you looking at the residents, but you're also looking at the businesses, which seem to be the greater problem, just driving up the need. And where are we requiring them to look at their usage and what can we do to decrease their consumption?

MR. STORM: Okay. The environmental report will layout what DSM, demand side management, that Xcel has done through the years to this point, what they're currently doing, and what they project

they can do into the future. You know, how much can they -- how much -- how successful have they been and what's their modeling showing, how sensitive they can be on the DSM side. As one person spoke, Xcel can't force people to go on a diet, they can only explain to people pros and cons of doing that. But we will flush that out.

MS. BIRKE: When an organization, corporation, a business applies for a permit to have their business in our area, we could ask them to implement environmental practices to decrease their consumption, you know, requiring them to put in solar panels on their -- you know, especially when a corporation like, you know, Children's, which is actually putting up new buildings, that they make those new buildings energy efficient.

Is there a way to -- you know, where in the process of requiring them, so that when they want to make our community a better place to live because, look at us, we have a great hospital nearby and it's creating all sorts of new jobs for us, but you know what? We don't want -- look what the sacrifice is.

MR. STORM: The PUC doesn't have the authority to do the conceptual thing you're talking

about. That's either a legislative issue or a local unit of government issue.

MS. BIRKE: Then my last question is:

How is the cost for this project shared between the residents and businesses?

MR. STORM: I'm going to let Paul --

MR. LEHMAN: Simple answer is it's passed. Let's just say it's the typical project that we plan and we build and we put in service and then we ask the Public Utilities Commission for permission to start charging our customers for that. General rule is that all customers pay for it in some portion to the way they use their power.

So homeowners, businesses, they'll all pay for part of the facility. If the facility's something special, beyond what would normally be built for a group of customers, there's potential that some individual customers will pay a portion of that, sort of a special facilities type charge. We don't know if that's going to be the case here or not. But those are sort of the realm of possibilities that might come about and how customers would start paying for this.

MS. BIRKE: Thank you.

MR. STORM: Thank you. Given that I've

gone through all my cards, I will go by show of hands.

MS. JOHNSON: He's on his way. He'll be here in five minutes.

MR. STORM: Okay. We'll take him when he gets here.

The gentleman in the back with the yellow tie, if you would step to the podium and state and spell your name, please.

MR. SUDHEIMER: Hi, my name is Lou, L-O-U, Sudheimer, S-U-D-H-E-I-M-E-R.

And the one observation I want to make was regarding Frank's comments. That I was at a hearing recently where the utilities were coming in to talk about the CIP or the CIP program, which stands for conservation improvement program, which is the energy reduction. And I don't know Xcel's situation, maybe Xcel can testify as to the effect of this, of their CIP efforts. But I believe it was Great River Energy and one other utility where -- which serves the northern suburbs were discussing the effectiveness of the program. And, of course, there's been a reduction in demand because of the economy.

But these executives of that utility,

which also served a portion of our metro area, were amazingly surprised at how effective the demand reduction programs have been. And they said that they had absolutely no plans to build future generation because of the conservation.

So it can work and it can work very effectively, if it's properly implemented with an intent to actually accomplish the reduction, genuine attempt to reduce it.

MR. STORM: Thank you. Show of hands.
Yes, this lady here.

Please state and spell your name.

MS. BALFOUR: Hi. My name is Lynn
Balfour, L-Y-N-N, Balfour, B-A-L-F-O-U-R. And I
came tonight, I've been to some of the routing
meetings, which is really, before I get to my issues
here, when I came to those routing meetings, it's
really what motivated me to do some energy
conservation in my house. So for those people who
think people and our behaviors can't change, I can
tell you, information is power and that does lead to
change in behavior.

So I'm a big supporter of the conservation. And so we just recently had our attic insulated and did all the light bulbs and all that

stuff, too. But all of that was a result of the routing meetings. So I want to put that out there.

But back to the agenda here, I really want to speak in support. On page 6 there, the alternatives to the proposed HVTL, I really want to speak in support of the no-build alternative, the demand side management, and the upgrading of exiting facilities points there. And I guess I would ask you to really articulate in detail and explain to the public the efforts thus far that Xcel has put forward on those three areas in particular that would be on my wish list.

I guess the other thing I would add, too, is, you know, when I think about what I do at my workplace each and every day and when we have problems that arise, you know, we try to do some problem solving and identify, you know, where's the problem, where's the biggest source of the problem? And I try to compare that to tonight's presentation about those big users and high demand users, the hospitals and, you know, some of the corridor areas.

And I guess if I was in charge of running the world, I would approach those big users, and perhaps that has been done, but approach those big users and, you know, really give them incentive and

motivation to changing how they do business. It can be done.

And then the last point I'll throw out there before turning the microphone over is, you know, the solution that Xcel has put forward is to build these new stations and upgrade everything and make it bigger and better. And, yes, it will work for who knows how long, but what's going to happen 20, 30, or 40 years down the road? Are we going to have to again feed the beast and build something bigger and bigger and bigger and more of them to just keep feeding this increasingly energy demand beast that we've created ourselves, of course.

I hope I'm not around to see that day, when Xcel is back here at the table in this neighborhood asking for the same thing because we didn't do it right the first time and we're being asked to create all these new -- you know, these new stations and voltage lines. It's just so discouraging in so many ways.

So I just really want to ask you to clearly articulate in your proposal the no-build efforts, the demand side management efforts, and the upgrading existing facilities work that has been demonstrated and documented as put forward by

itself. Those are my comments.

MR. STORM: Thank you very much. Would anyone else like to speak?

Angelina. Please state and spell your name.

MS. MATIAS-VAZQUEZ: My name is Angelina Matias-Vazquez. Angelina, spelled A-N-G-E-L-I-N-A. Matias, M-A-T-I-A-S, Vazquez V-A-Z, as in zebra, Q-U-E-Z, as in zebra. Thank you.

I would, just for a moment, would like to kind of set the record straight. And I would like to say that a lot of people from the community took a lot of their time last summer to come to the state capitol and work with our state representative Karen Clark, our senator Linda Berglin, and our council member Lindgren. These were the people who together we work really hard to get this certificate of need passed, legislation.

And so one of the reasons why we even were successful at passing this legislation is because, in fact, we were not really talking about a 1.5 mile of this high voltage power line but, in fact, was more like 12 miles. When research was done we found out it was actually a lot bigger and they were just sectioning it off so they wouldn't

have to comply with the certificate of need.

But thank God the certificate of need legislation has given us this opportunity to be here today and demand that Xcel Energy takes us very seriously and that it incorporates in its certificate of need application. At this point they failed to show how a bundle of alternative sources of renewable energy resources could, when put together, potentially address the electricity needs of this area. Xcel Energy has a responsibility by state law to assess alternatives to these high voltage power lines. And it is unacceptable that they have made no serious attempt to do so in this application.

It is expected and we demand that Xcel make a major investment in its infrastructure by bringing the smart grid technology to this area, upgrade the substations that exist now. And Xcel Energy should invest, and I mean invest a serious, a serious amount of money in this area in renewables for residential and commercial. Renewable energy such as solar energy, energy storage capabilities, as well as other renewable, such as wind use, etcetera.

I want to mention that every year

approximately \$81 million is paid to the utilities by residents and businesses owners from the Phillips area for energy usage. This doesn't even include the entire area that the Xcel Hiawatha project is looking at, which is a much larger area. So, if all of the Phillips area then is extracting this much amount of money, that means it's about \$7 million a month that we could be using along -- well, a portion of that should be reinvested back into the community.

And the other thing is that it's very unfortunate that our community doesn't have the money to have -- to hire a lawyer to represent us in this process, leaving us very vulnerable. But, so, we could use the funds that Xcel is using in building this underground high voltage power lines, which are not needed because so much research has been done and it's been proven. And, in fact, there are people in our community who are already install solar systems on their homes.

And so I have a dream that one day we will implement this energy democracy. By that I mean that everybody will be able to install a solar energy in their home, produce enough energy for ourselves and for our community, and even sell

energy back to the grid. In essence, becoming a small business. This is what it means to be energy democratization. Then we forget about that because with this same solar system that we have in our home, we would be able to charge our batteries in our electrical vehicles. And we would forget about gas stations and pollution.

So, I guess this is our opportunity to tell Xcel Energy that we don't want this high voltage power lines, they're not needed, and this is not a solution that we support because this is not really looking into the future. This is not the solution. Time is running out for earth's climate system. Energy giants such as Xcel have to stop mucking up our health and the health of the planet for the sake of the private profits.

The conventional electric delivery system is the path of least resistance and the source of highest profits for Xcel. And the corporation has no intention of changing unless it is forced to do so.

So together we ought to stand together and demand that Xcel and the PUC and the State of Minnesota make sure that Xcel Energy seriously consider alternative source of energy, not one by

one, but together. We should be able to have enough energy not to need this high voltage power lines.

Thank you.

MR. STORM: Thank you.

Sean, you're up.

MR. GOSIEWSKI: Thank you, everybody.

MR. STORM: Please state and spell your

name.

MS. GOSIEWSKI: Sean Gosiewski. S-E-A-N. Gosiewski, G-O-S-I-E-W-S-K-I. And I work with the Alliance for Sustainability and I'm also a volunteer with our neighborhood Corcoran Group. It's a transition town group over by the Midtown Y there in the Corcoran neighborhood.

And I want to just talk about the certificate of need. And one of the things we realize is that our community will be needing a lot more need to cool ourselves in the summer in the future because of the potential of many more days above 100 degrees with a lot more humidity. With climate change we will be facing a need for increased -- keeping ourself cool. We're really hoping that we can work with Xcel on getting tools in people's hands to really help them to reduce the peak load, while at the same time keeping ourselves

comfortable.

So we would really like to encourage Xcel to work with the really major groups in the area, like the -- like this Midtown Building and the Allina center, to work on state-of-the-art cooling technologies where they could try to conserve their need for peak demand electricity.

We also would like to work with getting out into the community more efficient dehumidifiers and air conditioners, as well as getting folks signed up for the savers' wish program. So our community is really getting organized. We'll be having about 600 community volunteers joining us on April 9th. And we have new transition town groups that are in Phillips, Powderhorn, Corcoran and Longfellow.

So we feel if Xcel would work with us, we would like to really educate our neighbors about how to use our windows and fans, how to save inside the savers' wish program, how to get really efficient air conditioners and dehumidifiers, and do what we can to really measure and really attack our peak demand and get it down.

And so I think if we work with residents, as well as with the really major buildings in the

area that have cooling systems, to pursue other things that can, for example, create ice in non-peak times of the day, where they can then use that ice, say, maybe freeze in the basement, to let it cool the building during the peak times during the day, as well as perhaps district cooling. If there could be ways of looking at district heating and cooling. So we would really like to, if possible, to forgo the need for new power lines by, as a community, really getting our peak demand down together.

So thanks again.

MR. STORM: Thank you, Sean. Does anyone

MR. STORM: Thank you, Sean. Does anyone else -- yes, sir. Please step to the podium and state and spell your name.

MR. BLATTNER: Thank you. My name is Evan, E-V-A-N, Blattner, B-L-A-T-T-N-E-R.

So I've heard -- I've heard one of my neighbors say we don't need these power lines. And I've heard an executive from the power company say we need these power lines. And I just want to state that I don't feel like that's really enough information, saying that we need them or we don't.

MS. JOHNSON: They have a document, it's like two inches thick, on the thing that you have to read.

MR. BLATTNER: All right. I saw his graphic, the red and the green. It was a compelling graphic but --

MR. STORM: There is a certificate of need document there, it's online, it's available, if you want -- I know we have copies, if you want a disk. Like Carrie said, it's like this thick (indicating). It's basically an analysis produced by Xcel in support of their position of why there is a need and why this line is in the best interests to fulfil. I mean, that's Xcel's position.

MS. JOHNSON: And it's at the library.

MR. STORM: It's at the library, too.

MS. JOHNSON: I don't think it's at all of them. I think it's at Franklin, for sure.

MR. STORM: I believe it's in several libraries. If you go to our website that I showed you on the thing and you look up the notice for this meeting, there is a whole list of libraries that it's at.

MR. BLATTNER: Okay. Great.

My next question, I suppose, probably is going to be handed to Paul. It's about the substations. I'm curious about what size, like acreage wise, they would take up.

1	MR. STORM: Okay. I'll go to Paul.
2	MR. LEHMAN: And I'm going to quickly
3	bring up another member of our team who can speak to
4	the physical dimensions of the substation.
5	MS. McNELLY: Sorry, I don't think in
6	acres.
7	MR. BLATTNER: That's fine. Square feet.
8	MS. McNELLY: Hiawatha, I guess, is about
9	three and a half acres. I'm sorry. Susan McNelly.
10	S-U-S-A-N. McNelly, M-C-N-E-L-L-Y.
11	Hiawatha, that is about three and a half
12	acres, and the Midtown is about two acres.
13	MR. BLATTNER: Okay. And there's going
14	to be the proposal is for two new substations, is
15	that correct?
16	MS. McNELLY: Yes, one on 38th over off
17	Hiawatha and then one between 28th, I'm sorry.
18	MR. BLATTNER: Because there exists one
19	at 38th and Hiawatha.
20	MS. McNELLY: Yeah, 28th. And then one
21	between Portland and Oakland, right adjacent to the
22	new line.
23	MR. BLATTNER: Okay. So that's and
24	then I'm sorry, I shouldn't have asked in acres.
25	Can you give square blocks? Half a square lock?

1 MS. McNELLY: The Hiawatha, we don't have 2 an exact dimension yet. There's options that we can 3 do that have different dimensions. I would say about 400 by 300, somewhere in that area. 4 I don't 5 remember off the top of my head. Midtown is about 150 by 250 feet, the dimensions. 6 7 MR. BLATTNER: About maybe five housing 8 sections? 9 MS. McNELLY: No. The Midtown, actually, 10 we own most of the property right now. There was an 11 existing substation there until about two, three 12 years ago. We would use that property. There is 13 a -- an open spot next to it and then there is a 14 triplex that had been condemned at one time, I don't 15 know if it still is, that we were looking at also 16 taking. So that would be the only property that 17 isn't vacant and not used at this time that would be 18 needed. MR. BLATTNER: And you said the Hiawatha 19 20 property you already own? 21 No, just the -- what we MS. McNELLY: 22 used to call the Oakland substation site. 23 MR. BLATTNER: So who owns the property 24 where the 28th and Hiawatha --25 MS. JOHNSON: The Hennepin County Regional.

1	MS. McNELLY: MNDOT owns that.
2	MR. BLATTNER: All right. Thank you.
3	My last question is regarding the ALJ
4	said that made a suggestion that, yes, the
5	underground route is the best.
6	MR. STORM: In the routing docket, which
7	was the docket that we went through all summer,
8	after the contested case hearing and the briefs,
9	reply briefs, counter briefs and all that and the
10	ALJ released her report, she recommended a route
11	that runs along 28th and she recommended that the
12	route be underground. And she recommended the
13	Hiawatha site, preferred site, and then the Midtown
14	site.
15	MR. BLATTNER: Okay. And are those
16	suggestions? Are they
17	MR. STORM: They are recommendations.
18	MR. BLATTNER: Recommendations.
19	MR. STORM: The recommendations to the
20	Public Utilities Commission. The Public Utilities
21	Commission is the ultimate decisionmaker.
22	MR. BLATTNER: So PUC Xcel would use
23	that or not use at it all?
24	MR. STORM: Once it resumes again and the
25	record comes back to my office, I will assemble the

1 record and put together my recommendations and 2 present the record in the case to the PUC for a final decision. The PUC will make three decisions. 3 One is they'll decide whether or not my EIS I wrote 4 5 was adequate and, two, they'll decide whether to grant a route permit to Xcel and, three, they will 6 decide what route should be selected. 7 8 MR. BLATTNER: Okay. Thank you. 9 MR. STORM: Yes, ma'am, in the mauve. 10 You turned around. You, right there. Yes. State 11 and spell your name. Thank you. 12 MS. SCHACK: Hi. I'm Lesley Schack. 13 L-E-S-L-E-Y, S-C-H-A-C-K. I live in the Midtown 14 Exchange Building. And I just have a couple of 15 questions. My first is: How much weight does the 16 PUC give to the administrative law judge's 17 recommendation for routing? I sense everyone --18 I can't really speak for the MR. STORM: 19 I think it's significant, but I can't speak PUC. 20 for the PUC.

MS. SCHACK: So it's a big piece, though, of the puzzle. Okav.

21

22

23

24

25

And then just a couple of comments about the environmental effects section of the draft environmental report. Specifically, the land use

and transportation sections. While it's not -- it doesn't sound like it's going to be built along the Greenway, I'm just wondering if there's a way to build in research or potential impacts. If it were built on the Greenway, how would that affect light rail or streetcar use? Because I hear that there might be plans for that in the future. So I'm just wondering if you looked at that.

MR. STORM: In the routing docket, the proceeding we did all through the summer, and the environmental impact statement that was written for that does detail that. What we did, we looked at that, we laid out what the various concepts for the Greenway are and how compatible that would be with either aboveground or underground route and we laid that out.

MS. JOHNSON: I think that's the answer to her first question, about what the Commission can do, decide, those four things.

MR. STORM: Okay. So if you go to the web -- if you go to our website and you look at the routing document and you look at the EIS, you will see a section in there that talks about the plans for the Greenway, the trolly, the light rail, the various concepts, and how the route that was

proposed to run on the Greenway, overhead and below ground, how that would impact that. That's all contained in that document.

MS. SCHACK: And then can I ask one more?

MR. STORM: Sure.

MS. SCHACK: I hear that there might be health impacts of building aboveground lines close to where people live. Does -- has anything -- have any of your reports looked at that? I'm guessing they have, but I'm just curious about, you know, what you looked at and what you know.

MR. STORM: Again, we're in this juxtaposition because I sort of did the second part before I did the first part because of the way the legislation went. Again, I bring you back to the EIS, environmental impact statement, for the routing does talk about EMF generically, what is it, what are the studies around the world showing? And then it also talks about specifically for each of the routes that we're evaluating what the EMF would be for the various lines, the various distances and heights. And that's all in that document.

Understand that that document was done before the hearing. We went through the hearing, we had three weeks of testimony, and the judge's report

1 The judge recommending 28th Street, bury came out. 2 them. 3 MS. SCHACK: Thank you. MR. STORM: You're welcome. 4 5 MS. JOHNSON: I think that's the answer, though, to her first question about what the PUC 6 7 can -- how they making a decision. 8 MR. STORM: Carrie, why don't you hand it 9 to her, because there's too much there to go over. 10 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I'll give it to her. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else want to 12 make a comment, ask a question? Yes. Please step 13 up. State and spell your name. 14 MR. STRUCK: My name is Dan Struck. 15 D-A-N. S-T-R-U-C-K. And my question was about 16 eminent domain. One of you mentioned that depending 17 on what route was chosen, that the domain would be 18 in effect. So what is that, what properties would 19 likely be affected by that? 20 MR. STORM: Since Xcel is a regulated 21 utility, if they were to be granted a CON and then 22 they would be granted a route permit for this 23 transmission lane, along with that permit comes 24 their right to go through eminent domain to get 25 property. I can certainly have Xcel talk to you,

but basically eminent domain is their ability to take property.

And there is a process that's done. It's outside of our process. But it is a process that's done that assesses the value of the property, sets up the mediation between the property owner and Xcel, if they can't reach an agreement outside of domain, and proceed that way. We do have a fact sheet on it.

We don't deal with it directly, but since there are eminent domain complications or issues after the fact, we do put a fact sheet that shows -- explains how eminent domain is handled in Minnesota and where you can get further information.

MR. STRUCK: Thanks.

MR. STORM: Okay. Would anybody else like to make a comment? Is Frank -- do you want to speak again?

MR. LORENZ: You said that we could speak a second time if everybody else is --

MR. STORM: Yes, you can come up, Frank.

Please state and spell your name again.

MR. LORENZ: You don't mind if I change it for the second time?

MR. STORM: Whatever you feel comfortable

with.

MR. LORENZ: I'm not sure if you've seen this before, but this may be your answer to transmission towers in the future from Iceland.

MR. STORM: Okay. I assume you don't want that in the record.

MR. LORENZ: Well, it's not profane.

MR. STORM: No, it's not profane.

MR. LORENZ: You can put it in the record. It's an interesting concept. I'm not sure we'll have the money to build it.

Frank Lorenz. L-O-R-E-N-Z.

I'm a little bit concerned that some people are talking about alternatives as if they were right around the corner or proven technology. And that's -- at the best its wishful thinking, at the worst you're going to get yourself in a jackpot. I've been listening to people tell me that solar power is right around the corner, and other people tell me that nuclear fusion, which creates more fuel than it consumes, is right around the corner.

I've been listening to those statements since the early 1950s. And, unfortunately, although the people over in St. Paul, at the state capitol can write laws, they're not really good at repealing

them. And in order to make those things come true, they have to repeal two of the three laws of thermodynamics, and I don't think they can get it to happen.

So beginning about seven or eight years ago the next big thing was going to be wind power. And the state kind of twisted Xcel's arm to make them commit to generate 25 percent of their power consumption in re -- not recyclable, but renewable fuels by some date in the future.

Now we're finding out that wind power isn't quite as wonderful as was presented because the turbines make noise and they interfere with sunlight. So if you're a farmer and you have a wind farm on your property, you may be triggering a grand mal seizure if you're subject to it, or at least the light flickers through your windows on sunny days.

And the final problem with wind energy as we have it is that you have to have backup power. In other words, if you're going to bring on a field of wind power with X-hundred kilowatts or megawatts, you know, you can put the decimal point wherever you want it. You have to have something else, whether that's coal or nuclear. But you have to have backup

power because the wind doesn't blow hard all the time. And if you don't have that backup power, then the lights are going to go out.

So the cost of wind power is not just the cost of the wind farm and the sophisticated transmission lines and switching gears to get it from southwestern Minnesota up to the Twin Cities where it's needed, but you also have to build conventional power of some kind or you have to get everybody to agree that they will make their peace with having their lights go out when the wind doesn't blow in Pipestone, Minnesota. And politicians never get around that. But, you know, it's a nasty reality of the state of the art.

So, you know, I'm all for conservation, but you need -- you know, the 30 people or 35 people in this room, there are 385,000 people that live in Minneapolis, you know, so I'm not going to do the division, but if I had to -- if I had to take a vote, you know, if anyone in this room cares, so what? It's one one-thousandth of one percent of the population. Where is everybody else? They're watching their 50-inch color TV and they've got the computer on right beside it.

So I don't have any love affair with Xcel

Energy, but the sad reality is that at the very worst you're the smartest guys in the dumb row because you're rated consistently, over the last 30 years, as being one of the five top utilities in the country. And Minnesota has a horrible business climate in terms of taxes and weather and all the other things you read about in the paper. But the one thing we have a wonderful business climate in is the low cost of power. And that didn't happen by accident. I believe in luck, but nobody is lucky for 30 years in a row.

So, you know, Xcel Energy has done more right than wrong over the years. And I think, you know, in this project, which is a big deal for the people that have to live with it in this neighborhood, but it's a small blip on Xcel Energy's balance sheet. Xcel Energy really doesn't care what this costs because I believe that your assets are classified under the four or five different categories of assets and you're given a different rate of return on them under statute.

And this is not the highest risk -- or highest return asset, that would be the power generating plants. But I believe that the high voltage transmission lines are the second one down.

So other things being equal, Xcel Energy stockholders would be best served if you take the most expensive alternative. And I'm not suggesting that you do that. In fact, I'm sure that you're not doing that.

But the reality is that you don't have any skin in the game financially on how this thing gets done because you're going to get your statutory rate of return on whatever the costs are. And I and everybody else are going to pay that rate of return and at the end of the day we'll all go home and watch TV.

So I'm just concerned that this doesn't morph into an endless, well, if we just wait another five years, then the kilowatt fairy will come down and we can each have one in our attic and we won't need any electric power to come in from a central generating source or not. I mean, there's a reality to having power around. And if you want a MRI scan, if you're in an automobile accident, or an x-ray, or any of the other sophisticated procedures that they do at Abbott Northwestern or the University of Minnesota, you're not going to be able to power it by a solar cell on the roof. You know, you have to have the correct amount of power and you have to

have it at the touch of a switch. And these alternative energy sources that everybody seems to be -- especially the politicians seem to be so enamored with, have huge costs.

I mean, I'll finish now, but, you know, wind power, you have to have a backup source and it's either nuclear or steam coal or buying it from Canadian Hydro. And that's part of Minnesota's mix and it has been for 20 years. And the Canadians seem to be willing to dam their rivers and screw up their ecology in order to sell us power. And it's effective, at least the cost of it so far is effective to use as standby power, but it's extremely expensive.

And if we continue to not want to build another power plant ever, or not want to do some of these other things, like getting power to the right spot in a neighborhood, then the lights are going to start going out. And it's not clear what people will do when that happens. If it happens once, it's just a bad thing, but if the lights go out every two weeks all summer long, I think you're going to find some of the people that say they believe in conservation storming the state capitol to have them change their minds again so that they can then site

a new power plant which will take 12 years to build.

So, you know, it's important to have your -- you know, some grasp of reality. And Xcel is somewhat indifferent to it. You know, if you're told by the state legislators or the administrative law judge that you can't build this thing, then you won't build it because your executives don't want to go to jail. But when the lights go out, it won't be your problem because it's force du jour and all you have to do is point to the library full of documents that said everybody told us we couldn't build it, so we didn't build it. And that's not a good alternative, if I'm laying in the hospital and I need a CAT scan. So.

MR. STORM: Thank you, Frank. Okay.

Anyone else want to speak? Raise your hand.

Seeing no show of hands. I want to remind you if you have suggestions for the scope of the environmental report, for the certificate of need, you need to get them to me by April 6th, 2011. Again, you can fax, you can e-mail, you can mail. And we also have electronic capability on our website. I do appreciate you coming. The process needs people to participate. Thank you.

(Meeting concluded at 7:54 p.m.)